AIGR™

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE GOVERNANCE RATINGS

ILLUSTRATIVE / SAMPLE READINESS

Fictional demonstration only - not an independently reviewed AIGR rating or certification.

AI Governance Readiness

Enterprise Readiness Assessment

Automated governance readiness, evidence verification and prioritized remediation output

ASSESSED ORGANIZATION	REPORT STATUS
Meridian Grove Manufacturing	**ILLUSTRATIVE**
Meridian Grove Manufacturing Inc.	Methodology AIGR-GR-2.0 26 August 2026

Purpose of this readiness output

This report summarizes automated AI governance readiness across the defined assessment scope. It combines management maturity responses, control-linked evidence, verification rules, framework mappings and open remediation actions. It is designed to help management prioritize governance improvements before an independently reviewed rating process.

Readiness overview

Automated governance readiness indicators for the defined assessment scope.

READINESS SCORE	EVIDENCE CONFIDENCE	ASSESSMENT COVERAGE	EVIDENCE-BACKED CONTROLS
71.8	**75%**	**100%**	**21/24**
Established readiness	Weighted confidence across applicable controls	Weighted controls with recorded maturity	Controls with linked evidence artifacts

DOMAIN READINESS

Readiness interpretation

Meridian Grove Manufacturing records a 71.8 / 100 governance readiness score with 75% evidence confidence and 100% weighted coverage. The result is an automated readiness baseline intended to identify evidence and implementation priorities; it is not an AIGR rating.

Executive readiness summary

Strongest verified controls, priority constraints and verification status.

VERIFIED	PARTIAL	MISSING EVIDENCE	IMPLEMENTATION GAPS
13	8	2	1
Controls meeting automated verification rule	Evidence or maturity requires strengthening	Control asserted without linked evidence	Control maturity below threshold

VERIFIED STRENGTHS	PRIORITY READINESS CONSTRAINTS
ORG-01 - Authoritative AI system inventory	OPS-03 - Third-party change and exit readiness
GOV-01 - AI governance policy and mandate	OPS-02 - Third-party AI due diligence
SEC-01 - Access control and privileged use	RAI-02 - Outcome monitoring and harmful-bias review
RAI-01 - Human oversight and escalation	REG-03 - Framework mapping governance

MANAGEMENT INTERPRETATION

The readiness profile indicates that governance design is most credible where current evidence is linked directly to operating controls. Priority improvement should focus on controls that are either below the implementation threshold or asserted without sufficient evidence. Closing these gaps should improve both the governance score and evidence confidence on the next verification run.

Assessment scope & framework context

Defined perimeter, registered AI system and external framework readiness.

ASSESSMENT PROFILE	CURRENT VALUE
Organization	Meridian Grove Manufacturing
Sector	Advanced Manufacturing / Industrial Technology
Jurisdiction	Canada
Assessment	2026 Enterprise AI Governance Readiness Review
Assessment period	2026-01-01 to 2026-08-15
Scope	Enterprise governance readiness for the Production Quality Copilot and supporting AI governance processes, including inventory, risk classification, evidence management, human oversight, security, data governance, third-party controls and monitoring.

SYSTEM PROFILE	CURRENT VALUE
AI system	Production Quality Copilot
System ID	MG-QA-01
Business use	Prioritize inspection exceptions and summarize likely contributing factors for human quality engineers.
Lifecycle / risk	Production / Medium
Data classification	Confidential / Operational

FRAMEWORK	VERSION	READINESS	VERIFIED MAPPED CONTROLS
NIST AI Risk Management Framework	1.0	74.0%	12/18
ISO/IEC 42001	2023	72.5%	10/16
EU Artificial Intelligence Act	2024/1689	69.0%	9/15

Framework interpretation

Framework readiness percentages are analytical preparation views derived from mapped AIGR controls. They do not represent certification, conformity assessment or regulatory approval.

Priority control review

Selected controls requiring management attention before the next verification cycle.

ID	CONTROL	VERIFICATION	MATURITY	CONFIDENCE	WHY ATTENTION IS REQUIRED
OPS-03	Third-party change and exit readiness	Gap	1/4	35%	Management maturity is below the defined implementation threshold.
OPS-02	Third-party AI due diligence	Partially Verified	2/4	62%	Supplier diligence is present but recurring monitoring evidence is incomplete.
RAI-02	Outcome monitoring and harmful-bias review	Partially Verified	2/4	65%	Monitoring exists but recurring fairness review evidence is limited.
REG-03	Framework mapping governance	Partially Verified	2/4	66%	Framework mappings are present but periodic review evidence is limited.
RAI-03	Transparency and user communication	Partially Verified	2/4	68%	Disclosure language exists but control evidence is not yet consistently retained.
SEC-03	AI incident response integration	Partially Verified	2/4	68%	Incident process exists but AI-specific exercise evidence is incomplete.
ORG-03	Governance operating model	Partially Verified	2/4	70%	Cross-functional responsibilities are defined but closure evidence is inconsistent.
RISK-02	Risk treatment and acceptance	Partially Verified	2/4	70%	Risk treatment exists but formal acceptance criteria should be strengthened.
GOV-03	Board and executive reporting	Partially Verified	2/4	72%	Executive reporting exists but recurring board-level evidence is incomplete.
SEC-02	Adversarial testing and resilience	Missing Evidence	3/4	40%	The control is asserted, but current adversarial-testing evidence is not attached.

How to use this page

Prioritize implementation gaps first, then missing-evidence controls, then partially verified controls. Re-run automated verification after material control or evidence changes so the readiness result does not become stale.

Evidence assurance & governance gaps

Evidence sufficiency, traceability and the highest-priority open readiness issues.

EVIDENCE ARTIFACTS	EVIDENCE-BACKED CONTROLS	OPEN FINDINGS	PLAN ITEMS
12	21	0	7
Artifacts retained in the assessment snapshot	Applicable controls with linked evidence	Recorded findings in the assessment	Automated remediation actions

REF	EVIDENCE ITEM	STATUS	SHA-256
EV-001	MG-EV-01 governance evidence.pdf	Accepted	2ae573d5591b55f2
EV-002	MG-EV-02 governance evidence.pdf	Accepted	28728fc2e066a0e1
EV-003	MG-EV-03 governance evidence.pdf	Accepted	18bd44a4bb27b182
EV-004	MG-EV-04 governance evidence.pdf	Accepted	146dcc37d9e8cc40
EV-005	MG-EV-05 governance evidence.pdf	Accepted	50a8c5d73e340af7
EV-006	MG-EV-06 governance evidence.pdf	Accepted	b75dfb7bc2522326
EV-007	MG-EV-07 governance evidence.pdf	Accepted	2d30c49e720baddd
EV-008	MG-EV-08 governance evidence.pdf	Accepted	04a0488207943fad

Evidence standard

AIGR readiness separates control maturity from the evidence supporting it. SHA-256 integrity metadata preserves artifact traceability, but automated verification does not independently establish authenticity, legal sufficiency or operating effectiveness beyond the configured rules.

30 / 60 / 90-day governance plan

Sequenced remediation actions generated from the current verification result.

PRIORITY	ACTION	TARGET	EVIDENCE / IMPLEMENTATION REQUIRED
Critical	OPS-03 - Establish third-party exit readiness	2026-09-15	Document fallback service paths, contract exit triggers and transition evidence for material AI dependencies.
High	SEC-02 - Complete adversarial testing and resilience evidence	2026-10-15	Run documented misuse, prompt-injection and resilience tests and attach approved results.
High	RISK-03 - Formalize material-change reassessment	2026-10-15	Define material-change triggers and retain reassessment evidence after model, data or vendor changes.
Medium	GOV-03 - Establish recurring executive and board reporting	2026-11-15	Adopt a quarterly AI governance pack covering inventory, incidents, findings, risk acceptance and evidence coverage.
Medium	RAI-02 - Strengthen outcome and bias review	2026-11-15	Define recurring outcome testing, thresholds and evidence retention for harmful-bias review.
Medium	OPS-02 - Expand third-party monitoring	2026-11-15	Retain supplier review, material-change notices and recurring dependency monitoring evidence.
Medium	REG-03 - Formalize framework mapping review	2026-11-15	Assign ownership and review cadence for framework mappings and regulatory-reference updates.

CURRENT SCORE	NEXT-CYCLE TARGET	EVIDENCE TARGET	VERIFICATION CYCLE
71.8	**82+**	**90%+**	**Quarterly**
Established readiness	Illustrative management target	Current attributable evidence coverage	Or after material change

Recommended reassessment

Re-run readiness verification after priority remediation evidence is attached, and perform a broader reassessment at least annually or earlier after a material AI incident, major vendor/model change or significant scope expansion.

Methodology, use & limitations

How the automated readiness output is formed and how it should be interpreted.

AUTOMATED READINESS APPROACH

AIGR Readiness applies the configured AIGR control framework to management maturity responses and control-linked evidence. Automated verification evaluates response completeness, minimum maturity, evidence presence, artifact integrity metadata and configured confidence criteria. The scoring engine then combines weighted maturity, evidence confidence, coverage and open finding adjustments.

ANALYTICAL COMPONENT	TREATMENT
Management maturity	Normalized 0-4 control maturity response
Evidence confidence	Weighted confidence in current, attributable support
Coverage	Weighted completion of applicable controls
Automated verification	Verified, partial, missing-evidence, gap or not-applicable status
Framework readiness	Mapped AIGR control maturity rolled up to selected reference frameworks
Remediation plan	Priority actions generated from controls not meeting verification rules

READINESS RESULT

71.8 / 100 Established readiness 75% evidence confidence 100% assessment coverage. This is an automated readiness result, not an independently approved AIGR rating.

USE AND LIMITATIONS

This governance readiness report is based on organization-provided information, configured control rules, framework mappings and submitted evidence. It is an automated readiness output and is not an independently reviewed AIGR rating, certification, legal advice, regulatory approval or a determination of legal compliance.

REPORT ID	METHODOLOGY	INTEGRITY
AIGR-RDY-2026-SAMPLE-MGM-0001-V1	AIGR-GR-2.0	Snapshot and PDF SHA-256 retained in platform record

AIGR™

Evidence-backed AI governance readiness. AIGX™ Research methodology reference.